

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.SCEOC.ORG

803-425-8975

803-432-8416

803-432-4391

NO

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

Our School

Mathematics

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Below Average	N/A
2002	Average	Average	N/A
2003 2004	Average	Unsatisfactory	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

English/Language Arts

24.7 24.7 29.7 33.4 29.7 33.4 19.7 17.8 18.3 17.0 17.0 17.0

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Mathematics

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	57	219	92
Percent satisfied with learning environment	96.4%	64.1%	69.0%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	94.7%	70.5%	56.8%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	79.6%	81.7%	57.3%

PACT PERFORMANCE	BY GR							/.
	Englis	ent lesting	/,	and a long to the state of the		Proficient of	Advanced on Profi	cient and city Advanced
	at	ku lesu.	asted /	MBar	asic /	-coffcie	Want &	cient ance
	Enfoli	3401 010	lested old di	10,	Basic oh	61 / 0/0	br 1640	Adul.
	/ • •	<u> </u>	00	aliaa/Lor	iguage Ai		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	/ 2
All students		99.9	34.9	41.3	20.9	2.9	23.8	17.6
Gender	793	99.9	34.9	41.3	20.9	2.9	23.0	17.0
Male	407	99.8	39.6	40.9	17.6	1.9	19.5	17.6
Female	386	100.0	30.2	41.8	24.2	3.8	28.0	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group	300	100.0	30.2	41.0	24.2	5.0	20.0	17.0
White	364	100.0	20.8	40.1	33.8	5.3	39.2	17.6
African-American	412	99.8	47.4	41.8	10.1	0.8	10.8	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	4 12	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	12	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	12	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status		100.0	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	17.0
Not disabled	703	99.9	30.7	42.7	23.4	3.2	26.7	17.6
Disabled						N/A		17.6
Migrant Status	90	100.0	69.6	30.4	N/A	IN/A	N/A	17.0
Migrant Status Migrant	NI/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	N/A							
English Proficiency	793	99.9	34.0	41.7	21.5	2.8	24.3	17.6
Limited English proficient	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	791	99.9	33.9	41.6	21.6	2.8	24.4	17.6
Socio-Economic Status	791	99.9	33.9	41.0	21.0	2.0	24.4	17.0
Subsidized meals	446	99.8	46.4	43.7	9.6	0.2	9.9	17.6
Full-pay meals	345	100.0	20.4	38.4	35.0	6.2	41.2	17.6
i uli-pay meais	343	100.0	20.4	30.4	33.0	0.2	41.2	17.0
				Mathe	matics			
All students	793	99.6	24.7	44.4	18.3	12.7	31.0	15.5
Gender	193	99.0	24.1	44.4	10.3	12.7	31.0	13.3
Male	407	99.5	26.4	41.6	18.5	13.5	32.0	15.5
Female	386	99.7	22.9	47.1	18.2	11.8	30.0	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group	300	55.I	22.3	47.1	10.2	11.0	30.0	10.5
White	364	99.7	11.9	38.7	26.8	22.6	49.4	15.5
African-American	412	99.5	36.3	49.3	10.3	4.0	14.3	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	412	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	12	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	12	100.0	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status		100.0	IN/A	IN/A	IV/A	IN/A	IN/A	10.5
Not disabled	703	99.6	20.4	45.3	20.2	14.1	34.3	15.5
Disabled	90	100.0	59.5	36.7	2.5	1.3	3.8	15.5
Migrant Status	90	100.0	J3.J	50.1	2.0	1.0	3.0	10.0
Migrant Status	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	793	99.6	23.8	44.5	18.8	12.9	31.7	15.5
English Proficiency	195	55.0	20.0	77.0	10.0	12.0	51.7	10.0
Limited English proficient	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Littica Liigiisti prolicietti								
Non-limited English proficient	791	99.6	23.8	44.3	18.9	13.0	31.9	15.5

13.4

345

99.7

35.1

28.0

23.6

51.6

15.5

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enroll	84 04 . 0/0	0/086	90,	28th 01/2	6/2	ALC 0/0 Profit
		/ · ·			n/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Grade 6	226	N/A	31.7	35.7	22.8	9.8	32.6
	Grade 7	261	N/A	27.2	50.0	19.3	3.5	22.8
•	Grade 8	274	N/A	31.3	38.6	22.8	7.3	30.1
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 6	293	100.0	31.9	37.8	26.7	3.7	30.4
	Grade 7	238	100.0	32.4	47.7	18.5	1.4	19.9
	Grade 8	262	99.6	40.5	39.7	16.5	3.3	19.8

				M	athematic	•		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	226	N/A	31.7	41.1	17.4	9.8	27.2
	Grade 7	261	N/A	39.4	30.3	13.4	16.9	30.3
•	Grade 8	274	N/A	32.8	44.4	17.8	5.0	22.8
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	293	99.3	24.9	41.6	17.8	15.6	33.5
	Grade 7	238	99.6	22.8	41.9	19.5	15.8	35.3
	Grade 8	262	100.0	26.0	49.6	17.8	6.6	24.4

SCHOOL PROFILE

(Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 782)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	12.4%	Down from 12.7%	14.2%	14.4%
Retention rate	5.0%	No change	2.4%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	96.8%	Down from 97.4%	95.0%	95.2%
	18.6%	Up from 17.5%	14.6%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	10.4%	Down from 12.4%	15.2%	14.1%
	3.8%	Up from 3.1%	5.4%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	0.8%	Down from 2.4%	1.8%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 57)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	57.9%	Up from 57.4%	45.5%	47.1%
	87.7%	Up from 86.9%	83.7%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	88.0%	Up from 87.7%	86.2%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	95.7%	Down from 96.0%	94.8%	95.0%
	\$41,575	Up 4.1%	\$39,291	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	13.2 days	Down from 13.8 days	11.3 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	9.0	Up from 8.0	3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	20.4 to 1	Down from 22.7 to 1	21.4 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time	91.8%	Down from 92.0%	88.6%	88.9%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,870	Up 2.0%	\$5,721	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	68.1%	Down from 68.2%	61.6%	62.0%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	96.0%	Up from 92.1%	95.6%	94.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

				-
Δhhra	wiati∧n	e tor i	Missina	I lata

N/A Not Applicable N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient San	ıple
--	------

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Camden Middle School had a successful 2002-2003 school year. Our students progressed academically and participated in a variety of after-school activities:

The Technology Student Association (TSA), for the third year in a row, was named as the Outstanding Middle School in South Carolina.

The Science Olympiad Academic Team placed 9th in statewide competition.

Twenty-four students qualified as Junior Scholars, a record for CMS.

Six CMS students (of 8 in the county) qualified as Duke Tip scholars.

Eighth grade students won the District's Lt. Governor's Essay contest, the county's DAR essay contest, and a first place in the VFW essay contest.

Band students scored 2 Excellent and 22 Superior ratings at the Solo and Ensemble competition. Two students were selected for Region 3 Junior Band. The Wind Ensemble earned a Superior rating (the highest) at the State Concert Band Festival. The football team and volleyball team were runners-up in the Central 8 Conference.

The boys' basketball team was the Central 8 Conference Champions.

Nine students participated in the Region Science Fair. They achieved one first place award, two second place awards, and three third place awards. Four students were named as Discovery Young Scientists.

Through our Reading Renaissance program, our students read and were tested on 36,117 books and earned a record 96,828 points.

More than 150 parents, grandparents, and community members volunteered in a variety of capacities throughout the year.

To ensure continued student academic progress, Camden Middle School implemented/continued the following programs:

Two person teacher teams at each grade level provided greater individualized instruction and academic support for students.

The PTO sponsored 4 Family Nights and Open House.

Science teachers invited parents to a Science Fair project information meeting. Science Fair projects were displayed prior to the Awards Ceremony.

Keyboarding labs were open daily before school.

Administrators held Town Meetings with students at each grade level to discuss students' suggestions and concerns.

Math teachers sponsored evening "PACT POWER" sessions for parents/students. Communities in Schools, a comprehensive after-school program, served 60 students.

The Extended Day program served more than 150 students twice a week from January until May, focusing on the state math and language arts standards.

Semester courses through Related Arts provided additional support and instruction in both math and language arts.

Marge Small, Principal, 2002-2003

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.